



## LOS ANGELES COUNTY REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT

510 S. Vermont Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90020-1975. (213) 738-2981. <http://openspacedistrict.lacounty.info>

June 18, 2013

**ADOPTED**

REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT

The Honorable Board of Directors  
County of Los Angeles  
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
500 West Temple Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012

1-P

June 18, 2013

*Sachi A. Hamai*  
SACHI A. HAMAI  
SECRETARY

Dear Directors:

**REALLOCATE PRIOR YEAR EXCESS FUNDS AND REDUCE  
COUNTY EXCESS FUNDS FROM PLACEHOLDER GRANTS  
(ALL DISTRICTS) (3 VOTES)**

**SUBJECT**

Approval of the recommended actions will approve the reallocation of \$94,500,869 in prior year Excess Funds of the Los Angeles County Regional Park and Open Space District for prior year un-awarded Excess Funds and the reduction of \$3,795,197 in County Excess Funds from the placeholder grants in the First, Second and Fourth Supervisorial Districts to comply with requirements of the Safe Neighborhood Parks Proposition of 1996.

**IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:**

1. Find that the proposed administrative actions are not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act as the actions do not meet the definition of a project according to Sections 15378 (b)(4) (5) of the State of California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, because the actions are administrative activities for government grants which by their terms do not involve any commitments to any specific projects which may result in a potentially significant physical impact on the environment.
2. Approve the reallocation of \$94,500,869 in prior year Excess Funds to the Supervisorial Districts in the four allocation categories as shown in the Attachment.
3. Authorize the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation, in his capacity as Director of the Los Angeles County Regional Park and Open Space District, to reduce County Excess Funds in the amount of \$3,795,197 from the Excess Funds placeholder grants in the First, Second, and

Fourth Supervisorial Districts to comply with the requirements of the Safe Neighborhood Parks Proposition of 1996 as also shown in the attachment.

## **PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION**

### **REALLOCATE PRIOR YEAR EXCESS FUNDS**

In any fiscal year, Excess Funds awarded but not encumbered into grant agreements in that fiscal year are available for allocation by your Board in the following year. Of the cumulative total of \$256,662,155 that has been determined to be excess since 1999, \$162,161,286 was allocated to projects through Fiscal Year (FY) 2012-13. Excess funds of \$94,500,869 for all prior years, still available for allocation in the current fiscal year, are therefore recommended for reallocation, as shown in Attachment I.

### **REDUCE "COUNTY" EXCESS FUNDS FROM COUNTY PLACEHOLDER GRANTS**

The 1996 Proposition requires that the County be granted an amount equal to the total amount granted to cities in each fiscal year. During the fiscal year, grants funded with "Cities" and/or "County" Excess Funds were made in each of the five supervisorial districts. Since the amounts granted were not equal, supplements or decreases to the grant amounts in the County Excess Funds placeholder grants will enable the District to comply with the requirements of the 1996 Proposition. The placeholder grants in the supervisorial districts identified will be adjusted to achieve parity between the "Cities" and "County" categories of Excess Funds to reflect awards made in FY 2012-13 as follows:

- First District - \$3,135,500 net reduction to the Various First District Improvements placeholder grant based on activities in FY 2012-13 as shown on Attachment II.

- Second District - \$609,697 net reduction to the Various Second District Improvements placeholder grant based on activities in FY 2012-13 as shown on Attachment II.

- Fourth District - \$50,000.00 net reduction to Various Fourth District Improvements placeholder grant based on activities in FY 2012-13 as shown in Attachment II.

Excess Funds held in placeholder grants remain available for reallocation by the Board for projects. The Excess Funds recommended for reduction from placeholder grants will remain available to your Board for allocation to projects as well.

## **Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals**

The proposed recommendations further the Board approved County Strategic Plan Goals of Operational Effectiveness (Goal 1), Fiscal Sustainability (Goal 2), and Integrated Services Delivery (Goal 3), by allocating funds available for additional capital outlay projects and making adjustments to Excess Funds.

## **FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING**

The reduction of Excess Funds from the County placeholder grants for purposes of achieving parity with the City grants will not impact the General Fund until such amounts are awarded to County projects of the Department of Parks and Recreation.

## **FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS**

Section (21), Subsection (j) of the 1996 Proposition requires that, following completion of an independent annual audit of the District, an annual Plan of Revenues and Expenditures (Plan) be prepared and approved by your Board prior to the end of the fiscal year. The 1996 Proposition identifies two purposes for the Plan. First, the Plan must demonstrate that the District is managing its revenues and issuing debt in a manner that ensures sufficient funds will be available to finance the \$859,000,000 of capital outlay project expenditures identified in the Safe Neighborhood Parks Propositions of 1992 and 1996 (the 1992 and 1996 Propositions) by the end of FY 2008-09. Second, the annual Plan must identify to your Board any available excess funds and designate the amount of such excess which, according to a formula prescribed by the 1996 Proposition and a recommendation by an independent financial consultant, may be allocated for additional projects. The 2013 Plan of Revenues and Expenditures was submitted under a separate board action to be approved no later than June 30, 2013.

The 1996 Proposition provides a method for determining each fiscal year the amount of funds available in the following fiscal year to fund capital improvement projects in addition to the amounts specifically identified for projects in the Safe Neighborhood Parks Propositions of 1992 and 1996.

Section (24), Subsection (b) of the 1996 Proposition requires that the County be granted an amount equal to the total amount granted to cities in each fiscal year.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION**

The proposed administrative actions are not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) in that the actions do not meet the definition of a project according to Sections 15378 (b)(4) (5) of the State CEQA Guidelines. This is because the actions are administrative activities for government grants which by their terms do not involve any commitments to any specific projects that may result in a potentially significant physical impact on the environment.

## **IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)**

The board action has no impact on current services nor does it authorize specific expenditures. It is merely a re-allocation of available Excess Funds.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Board's approval of the attached actions prior to the end of the current fiscal year will meet the requirements of the 1996 Proposition.

Please instruct the Executive Office-Clerk of the Board to return one adopted copy of this action to the Chief Executive Office, Capital Projects Division, and the Department of Parks and Recreation.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors

6/18/2013

Page 4

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Russ Guiney".

RUSS GUINEY

Director

RG:JA

WRO:LB

Enclosures

c: Chief Executive Office  
County Counsel  
Executive Office, Board of Supervisors

**Los Angeles County  
Regional Park and Open Space District  
STATUS OF EXCESS FUNDS**

As of May 20, 2013

	A	B	C = A+B	D	E = C-D
Allocation Category	Cumulative Available	2013 New Excess	Total Available	Cumulative Allocated **	New Balance Available
<b>1st District</b>					
"Big 5" *	\$ 23,099,594	\$ 3,244,230	\$ 26,343,824	\$ 4,607,050	\$ 21,736,774
Cities	\$ 11,549,797	\$ 1,622,115	\$ 13,171,912	\$ 6,834,330	\$ 6,337,582
County	\$ 11,549,797	\$ 1,622,115	\$ 13,171,912	\$ 10,065,330	\$ 3,106,582
Competitive	\$ 5,133,243	\$ 720,940	\$ 5,854,183	\$ 2,608,803	\$ 3,245,380
District Total	\$ 51,332,431	\$ 7,209,400	\$ 58,541,831	\$ 24,115,513	\$ 34,426,318
<b>2nd District</b>					
"Big 5" *	\$ 23,099,594	\$ 3,244,230	\$ 26,343,824	\$ 23,099,594	\$ 3,244,230
Cities	\$ 11,549,797	\$ 1,622,115	\$ 13,171,912	\$ 8,532,402	\$ 4,639,510
County	\$ 11,549,797	\$ 1,622,115	\$ 13,171,912	\$ 9,142,712	\$ 4,029,200
Competitive	\$ 5,133,243	\$ 720,940	\$ 5,854,183	\$ 1,651,183	\$ 4,203,000
District Total	\$ 51,332,431	\$ 7,209,400	\$ 58,541,831	\$ 42,425,891	\$ 16,115,940
<b>3rd District</b>					
"Big 5" *	\$ 23,599,594	\$ 3,244,230	\$ 26,843,824	\$ 16,769,438	\$ 10,074,386
Cities	\$ 11,049,797	\$ 1,622,115	\$ 12,671,912	\$ 8,636,652	\$ 4,035,260
County	\$ 11,549,797	\$ 1,622,115	\$ 13,171,912	\$ 9,136,652	\$ 4,035,260
Competitive	\$ 5,133,243	\$ 720,940	\$ 5,854,183	\$ 4,405,603	\$ 1,448,580
District Total	\$ 51,332,431	\$ 7,209,400	\$ 58,541,831	\$ 38,948,345	\$ 19,593,486
<b>4th District</b>					
"Big 5" *	\$ 22,599,594	\$ 3,244,230	\$ 25,843,824	\$ 8,296,000	\$ 17,547,824
Cities	\$ 12,049,797	\$ 1,622,115	\$ 13,671,912	\$ 7,947,314	\$ 5,724,598
County	\$ 11,549,797	\$ 1,622,115	\$ 13,171,912	\$ 7,497,314	\$ 5,674,598
Competitive	\$ 5,133,243	\$ 720,940	\$ 5,854,183	\$ 1,684,894	\$ 4,169,289
District Total	\$ 51,332,431	\$ 7,209,400	\$ 58,541,831	\$ 25,425,522	\$ 33,116,309
<b>5th district</b>					
"Big 5" *	\$ 23,099,594	\$ 3,244,230	\$ 26,343,824	\$ 13,530,000	\$ 12,813,824
Cities	\$ 11,549,797	\$ 1,622,115	\$ 13,171,912	\$ 6,201,398	\$ 6,970,514
County	\$ 11,549,797	\$ 1,622,115	\$ 13,171,912	\$ 6,426,819	\$ 6,745,093
Competitive	\$ 5,133,243	\$ 720,940	\$ 5,854,183	\$ 5,087,798	\$ 766,385
District Total	\$ 51,332,431	\$ 7,209,400	\$ 58,541,831	\$ 31,246,015	\$ 27,295,816
<b>Total</b>					
"Big 5" *	\$ 15,497,970	\$ 16,221,150	\$ 131,719,120	\$ 66,302,082	\$ 65,417,038
Cities	\$ 57,748,985	\$ 8,110,575	\$ 65,859,560	\$ 38,152,096	\$ 27,707,464
County	\$ 57,748,985	\$ 8,110,575	\$ 65,859,560	\$ 42,268,827	\$ 23,590,733
Competitive	\$ 25,666,215	\$ 3,604,700	\$ 29,270,915	\$ 15,438,281	\$ 13,832,634
District Total	\$ 256,662,155	\$ 36,047,000	\$ 292,709,155	\$ 162,161,286	\$ 130,547,869

\* Highest priority regional open space and recreation projects

\*\* Cumulative Allocated as of 5/08/13

Less New Excess:	(36,047,000)
Prior Excess to be reallocated:	\$ 94,500,869

### Supplement and Reduce "County" Excess Funds in County Placeholder Grants

	GRANTEE	PROJECT	AMOUNT
<b>1ST DISTRICT</b>	Amigos de los Rios	LAC-USC Wellness Center Project	\$ 1,900,000
	Department of Parks and Recreation	Belvedere Park Pool and Pool Building	\$ (3,500,000)
	Department of Parks and Recreation	Eugene A. Obregon Park Pool and Pool Building	\$ (1,631,000)
	Adjustment for Cities Excess Funds to be allocated in next Fiscal Year		\$ 95,500
	<b>Net Reduction to the Various 1st District</b>		<b>\$ (3,135,500)</b>
<b>2ND DISTRICT</b>	City of Carson	Outdoor Fitness Zones	\$ 250,000
	City of Compton	Pool Rehabilitation	\$ 250,000
	City of Culver City	Syd Kronenthal Park Playground Improvements	\$ 250,000
	City of Gardena	Rawley Park Improvements	\$ 250,000
	City of Hawthorne	Memorial Center Park Improvements	\$ 250,000
	City of Inglewood	Vincent Park, Darby Park, and North Park	\$ 250,000
	City of Lawndale	Hogan Tot Lot Expansion	\$ 250,000
	City of Lynwood	City Park Improvements	\$ 250,000
	City of Compton	Raymond Street Renovation - residual	\$ (894)
	Department of Parks and Recreation	Bethune Park Rehabilitation	\$ (1,187,180)
	Department of Parks and Recreation	Mona Park Rehabilitation	\$ (1,454,755)
	Department of Parks and Recreation	Kenneth Hahn Soccer Parking - residual	\$ 2,043
	Department of Parks and Recreation	119th Street Acquisition - residual	\$ 30,475
	Adjustment for Cities Excess Funds to be allocated in next Fiscal Year		\$ 613
	<b>Net Reduction to the Various 2nd District</b>		<b>\$ (609,697)</b>
<b>4TH DISTRICT</b>	Department of Parks and Recreation	Cerritos Park Fitness Equipment	\$ (50,000)
	<b>Net Reduction to the Various 4th District</b>		<b>\$ (50,000)</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL OF ALL ADJUSTMENTS TO PLACEHOLDER GRANTS</b>			<b>\$ (3,795,197)</b>